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#### Abstract

The reaction quotient Q can be expressed in partial pressures as $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}$ or in mole fractions as $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}$. $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}$ is ostensibly more useful than $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}$ because the related $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is a constant for a chemical equilibrium in which T and P are kept constant while $K_{P}$ is an equilibrium constant under more general conditions in which only $T$ is constant. However, as demonstrated in this work, $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is in fact more important both theoretically and technically. The relationships between $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}$, and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{C}}$ are discussed. Four examples of applications are given in detail.
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1 Relationships between $\left(\frac{\partial Q_{x}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}}$ and $\left(\frac{\partial Q_{P}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}}$, and between $\left(\frac{\partial Q_{x}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}}$ and $\left(\frac{\partial Q_{C}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}}$

The reaction quotient $Q_{x}$ with respect to mole fraction $x_{i}$ with species i for a reaction is defined in previous parts [1,2] of this work and shown here as Eq. 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{x}=\prod_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}^{\nu_{i}}=\prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{n_{i}}{n_{T}}\right)^{\nu_{i}}=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{N} n_{i}^{\nu_{i}}}{n_{T}^{\Delta v}}=n_{T}^{-\Delta v} Q_{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{N} n_{i}^{v_{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the number of moles of species i in the reacting system where there are a total of N species, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is the total number of moles in the system. $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the coefficient of species $i$ in the balanced chemical equation, positive for product and negative for reactant; $\Delta v$ is the sum of all the coefficients $\nu_{\mathrm{i}}$ in the equation.

In an ideal gas system, pressure P , volume V , and temperature T obey ideal gas laws represented by Eqs. 3 and 4 where R is a constant. $n_{\mathrm{T}}^{\circ}$ is the total initial number of moles of all species in the system and $\zeta$ is the reaction extent.

$$
\begin{align*}
P V=n_{T} R T & =\left(n_{T}^{0}+\Delta \nu \zeta\right) R T  \tag{3}\\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~V} & =\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{RT} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The counterparts of $Q_{X}$ defined in partial pressure $P_{i}$ and in molarity $C_{i}$ are defined by $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ as shown in Eqs. 5 and 6, where their relationships with $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}$ are also shown.

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{P}=\prod_{i=1}^{N} P_{i}^{v_{i}}=\prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i} P\right)^{v_{i}}=Q_{x} P^{\Delta v}  \tag{5}\\
& Q_{C}=\prod_{i=1}^{N} C_{i}^{v_{i}}=\prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{n_{i}}{V}\right)^{\nu_{i}}=\prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{n_{i}}{\frac{n_{T} R T}{P}}\right)^{\nu_{i}}=Q_{x}\left(\frac{P}{R T}\right)^{\Delta v}=Q_{P}\left(\frac{1}{R T}\right)^{\Delta v} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

It is known from thermodynamics that at equilibrium with constant $T, \mathrm{Q}_{P}$ equals a constant $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ which is known as the equilibrium constant. This can be demonstrated by inserting equilibrium partial pressures into Eq. 5. By contrast $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}$ can be any value with non-equilibrium partial pressures. From Eq. $5, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is a constant at equilibrium only when $T$ and $P$ are kept unchanged in the chemical system. This constant is denoted as $K_{x}$ to differentiate it from the non-equilibrium value of $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}$. Therefore, it can be concluded that $K_{x}$ is not as useful as $K_{p}$ [3]. But in fact we [1,2] have shown that technically $Q_{x}$ is a more useful quantity for any theoretical discussion of an ideal gas system. The example given below demonstrates that $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is theoretically a quantity of much more general utility. We have shown previously [1,2] that the property represented by Eq. 7 is important for a closed system at constant T and P . In fact the expression is independent of T, P, and V .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial Q_{x}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}}=Q_{x}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{v_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}}\right)-\frac{(\Delta v)^{2}}{n_{T}}\right]=Q_{x}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{v_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}}\right)-\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_{i}\right)^{2}}{n_{T}}\right] \geq 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Only at constant T, the following property relevant to Eq. 7 is obtained as Eq. 8 which is derived from Eq. 5.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\partial Q_{p}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}} & =\left(\frac{\partial Q_{x}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}} P^{\Delta v}+Q_{x}\left(\frac{\partial P^{\Delta v}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}} \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial Q_{x}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}} P^{\Delta v}+Q_{x} \Delta v P^{\Delta v-1}\left(\frac{d P}{d \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation 10 is obtained from Eq. 9 since P is a function of $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and V at constant T as shown in Eq. 3

$$
\begin{align*}
P & =P\left(n_{T}, V\right)  \tag{9}\\
d P & =\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial n_{T}}\right)_{V} d n_{T}+\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\right)_{n_{T}} d V=\frac{R T}{V} d n_{T}-\frac{n_{T} R T}{V^{2}} d V \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation 11 is obtained for equilibrium conditions by dividing Eq. 10 by $\mathrm{d} \zeta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d P}{d \zeta}=\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial n_{T}}\right)_{V}\left(\frac{\partial n_{T}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{T}^{0}}+\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\right)_{n_{T}}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{T}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 3 can be represented generally by Eq. 12 at constant T. Equation 11 can also be obtained directly from Eq. 12.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=P\left[n_{T}\left(n_{T}^{0}, \zeta\right), V\left(n_{T}, \zeta\right)\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Eq. 13 can be derived from Eq. 11 by using Eq. 3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d P}{d \zeta}=\frac{R T}{V} \Delta v-\frac{n_{T} R T}{V^{2}} \frac{\Delta v R T}{P}=\frac{R T}{V} \Delta v-\frac{n_{T} R T}{P V} \frac{\Delta v R T}{V}=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 13 can then be used to simplify Eq. 8 so as to obtain Eq. 14 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial Q_{p}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}}=\left(\frac{\partial Q_{x}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}} P^{\Delta v} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 14 shows that $\left(\frac{\partial Q_{p}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}}$ and $\left(\frac{\partial Q_{x}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}}$ are closely related especially when P is also kept constant and both $\zeta$ and $V$ are allowed to change. Thus, Eq. 7 remains the most essential expression even if only T is kept constant which shows the important role played by $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}$.

When T and V are kept constant, we can derive Eq. 15 from Eq. 10.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d P}{d \zeta}=\frac{R T}{V} \Delta v+0=\frac{n_{T} R T}{n_{T} V} \Delta v=\frac{P}{n_{T}} \Delta v \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inserting Eqs. 7 and 15 into Eq. 8 we derive Eq. 16 assuming that T and V are constant

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\partial Q_{p}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}} & =Q_{x}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{v_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}}\right)-\frac{(\Delta v)^{2}}{n_{T}}\right] P^{\Delta v}+Q_{x}\left(\Delta v P^{\Delta v-1}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta v}{n_{T}} P\right) \\
& =Q_{P}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{v_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}}\right)-\frac{(\Delta v)^{2}}{n_{T}}\right]+Q_{P} \frac{(\Delta v)^{2}}{n_{T}}=Q_{P} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{v_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}}>0 \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see from Eq. 6 that $Q_{C}$ is also a constant at equilibrium with constant $T$ and is known as $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{C}}$. However, $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is usually used when both T and V are constant. Under these conditions, Eq. 17 can be obtained from Eq. 6 or derived similarly to Eq. 16.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial Q_{C}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}}=Q_{C} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{v_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}}>0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations 7, 16, and 17 signify that the value of Q is increased by a forward reaction and decreased by a backward reaction. As shown in part I of this work [1], $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is also the key in assessing the direction of the shift in chemical equilibrium even though $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is not a constant while P is variable. If $\Delta v>0$, then increasing P will increase $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}$ according to $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{P}^{\Delta v}$ when the reaction in the system is frozen. Then the reaction will go backwards according to Eq. 7 to decrease $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}$ in order to restore $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}$ to its original value of $K_{P}$. If $\Delta v<0$, increasing P will decrease $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}$ if $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is kept as a constant, and the reaction will go forward in the equilibrium shift to increase $Q_{x}$ in order to restore the original value of $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}$. In both situations, increasing P will result in an equilibrium shift towards the side of chemical reaction containing the smaller sum of coefficients. Similarly, from Eq. 6, $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}} / \mathrm{V}\right)^{\Delta_{v}}$ When V is increased and the reaction is frozen, $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ will decrease if $\Delta_{\nu}>0$ and increase if $\Delta_{\nu}<0$ for constant T . This is because $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is a constant for a closed system when $\zeta$ is fixed. When the reaction is unfrozen, according to Theorem 7 in part I of this work [1] or Eq. 7, the equilibrium will shift toward the side with the larger sum of coefficients for the balanced chemical reaction equation. This result is exactly the same as that described by Theorem 2a introduced in part I of this work [1,2].

## 2 Application 1

In part I of this work [1] we derived Eq. 19 from Eqs. 7 and 18 for the Gibbs energy $G$ of a reaction.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{T, P, n_{i}} & =\left[\sum_{i} v_{i} \mu_{i}^{0}(T, P)\right]+R T \ln Q_{x}  \tag{18}\\
\left(\frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial \zeta^{2}}\right)_{T, P, n_{i}} & \geq 0 \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu_{i}^{0}(T, P)$ is the standard chemical potential for species i. In Eq. 17 of ref. [4] a similar equation as Eq. 19 is given but without the equal sign, i. e. with $>$ rather than $\geq$. This is clearly an oversimplification as it can be seen from Eqs. 16 and 17 that the equals sign can be rigorously excluded only at constant T and V as shown by Eq. 20.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial \zeta^{2}}\right)_{T, V, n_{i}}>0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations 19 and 20 signify that the Gibbs energy is a minimum at equilibrium.

## 3 Application 2

The molarity $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is totally determined by $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\zeta$ at constant V and thus it can be described with the function shown by Eq. 21 for reactions at constant T and V .

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}=C_{i}\left(n_{i}, \zeta\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

When species $i$ is added into a chemical equilibrium system, the change of the molarity of species $i$ is shown by Eq. 22.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d C_{i}=\left(\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{\zeta} d n_{i}+\left(\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{V, n_{i}} d \zeta \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term on the right of Eq. 22 describes the change in molarity caused by the addition of species for the open system if the chemical reaction involved in the system is frozen. The second term describes the change in molarity caused by the change in $\zeta$ for the closed system. The change in $\zeta$ is caused by the equilibrium shift initiated by the addition of species $i . \zeta$ is determined by $n_{i}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{C}}$, thus it can be described by Eq. 23. The amount of change in $\zeta$ indicated in Eq. 24 is determined from Eq. 23 at equilibrium with $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{C}}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta & =\zeta\left(n_{i}, Q_{C}\right)  \tag{23}\\
d \zeta & =\left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{Q_{C}=K_{C}} d n_{i} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

By inserting Eq. 24 into Eq. 22 we obtain Eq. 25 for equilibrium at constant T and V.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{T, V, Q_{C}=K_{C}}=\left(\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{\zeta}+\left(\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{V, n_{i}}\left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{T, V, Q_{C}=K_{C}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact Eqs. 21 and 23 can be combined into Eq. 26, while Eq. 25 can be obtained directly from Eq. 26. It is also indicated by Eq. 26 that $n_{i}$ is a function of $\zeta$ and $n_{i}^{0}$ the initial mole number of species i.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}=C_{i}\left[n_{i}, \zeta\left(n_{i}, Q_{C}\right)\right]=C_{i}\left[n_{i}\left(n_{i}^{0}, \zeta\right), \zeta\left(n_{i}, Q_{C}\right)\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The terms $\left(\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{\zeta}$ and $\left(\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{V, n_{i}}$ in Eq. 25 can be easily obtained as shown by Eqs. 27 and 28 . Equation 27 shows that the molarity of $i$ is increased when species $i$ is added to an equilibrium system if the chemical reaction in the system is frozen.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{\zeta} & =\left(\frac{\partial \frac{n_{i}}{V}}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{\zeta}=\frac{1}{V}>0  \tag{27}\\
\left(\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{V, n_{i}} & =\left(\frac{\partial \frac{n_{i}^{0}+v_{i} \zeta}{V}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{V, n_{i}}=\frac{v_{i}}{V} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Only $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\zeta$ will affect the value of $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{C}}$ when i is added. Thus, the term $\left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{T, V, Q_{C}=K_{C}}$ in Eq. 25 can be obtained from Eq. 29.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{C}=Q_{C}\left(V, n_{i}, \zeta\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

At constant $T, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is a constant under equilibrium conditions. We only consider $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{C}}$ when T and V are constant. Thus at equilibrium we can obtain Eqs. 30 and 31 from Eq. 29. Equations 6 and 17 are used in obtaining Eq. 31.

$$
\begin{align*}
d Q_{C} & =\left(\frac{\partial Q_{C}}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{V, \zeta} d n_{i}+\left(\frac{\partial Q_{C}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{V, n_{i}} d \zeta=0  \tag{30}\\
\left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{T, V, Q_{C}=K_{C}} & =-\frac{\left(\frac{\partial Q_{C}}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{V, \zeta}}{\left(\frac{\partial Q_{C}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{V, n_{i}}}=-\frac{Q_{C} \frac{\nu_{i}}{n_{i}}}{Q_{C} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{v_{j}^{2}}{n_{j}}} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining Eqs. 28 and 31, we obtain Eq. 32 for the second term on the right of Eq. 25.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{V, n_{i}}\left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{T, V, Q_{C}=K_{C}}=-\frac{v_{i}}{V} \frac{Q_{C} \frac{v_{i}}{n_{i}}}{Q_{C} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{v_{j}^{2}}{n_{j}}}=-\frac{\frac{v_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}}}{V \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{v_{j}^{2}}{n_{j}}} \leq 0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 32 pertains to a closed system and its negative value indicates that the reaction proceeds to reduce the increase caused by the first term on the right of Eq. 25. When Eqs. 27 and 32 are inserted into Eq. 25, we obtain Eq. 33.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{T, V, Q_{C}=K_{C}}=\frac{1}{V}\left[1-\frac{\frac{v_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{v_{j}^{2}}{n_{j}}}\right] \geq 0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 33 signifies that when species $i$ is added at constant $T$ and $V$, the new equilibrium molarity of species i will never be less than its original equilibrium molarity.

## 4 Application 3

For a closed system, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=P\left[T, V, \zeta\left(T, V, Q_{P}\right)\right] \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 35 can be obtained from Eq. 34 for constant T

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\right)_{T, Q_{p}=K_{P}}=\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\right)_{T, \zeta}+\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{T, V}\left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial V}\right)_{T, Q_{p}=K_{P}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term on the right side of Eq. 35 indicates that the pressure of the system is decreased when the volume is increased as indicated by Eq. 36 where the reaction in the system is frozen.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\right)_{T, \zeta}=\left(\frac{\partial \frac{n_{T} R T}{V}}{\partial V}\right)_{T, \zeta}=-\frac{n_{T} R T}{V^{2}}=-\frac{P}{V}<0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term on the right side of Eq. 35 is positive as indicated by Eqs. 37 and 38 which means that the reaction in the system will proceed to increase the pressure of the system for an equilibrium shift.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{T, V} & =\left[\frac{\partial \frac{\left(n_{T}^{0}+\Delta \nu \zeta\right) R T}{V}}{\partial V}\right]_{T, \zeta}=\frac{\Delta \nu R T}{V}=\frac{\Delta \nu n_{T} R T}{n_{T} V}=\frac{\Delta v P}{n_{T}}  \tag{37}\\
\left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial V}\right)_{T, Q_{P}=K_{P}} & =-\frac{\left(\frac{\partial Q_{P}}{\partial V}\right)_{T, \zeta}}{\left(\frac{\partial Q_{P}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{T, V}}=-\frac{\left\{\frac{\partial\left[Q_{n}\left(\frac{R T}{V}\right)^{\Delta v}\right]}{\partial V}\right\}_{T, \zeta}}{\left\{\frac{\partial\left[\prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(n_{i}^{0}+\nu_{i} \zeta\right)^{\left.v_{i}\right]\left(\frac{R T}{V}\right)^{\Delta \nu}}\right.}{\partial \zeta}\right\}_{T, V}} \\
& =-\frac{-\frac{\Delta \nu Q_{P}}{V}}{Q_{P} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{v_{j}^{2}}{n_{j}}} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation 16 can be used in the derivation of Eq. 38. We obtain Eq. 39 when Eqs. 36-38 are inserted into Eq. 35. The inclusion of the $\leq$ sign can be justified from Eq. 7.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\right)_{T, Q_{p}=K_{P}}=\frac{P}{V}\left[\frac{\frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} v_{j}\right)^{2}}{n_{T}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{v_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}}}-1\right] \leq 0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The final results from Eqs. 25 and 35 show a common feature of equilibrium, i.e. that the change of an intensive variable $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right.$ or P in the above example) resulting from the
change of an extensive variable $y_{i}\left(n_{i}\right.$ or $V$ ) for a system at equilibrium (the term on the right side of Eqs. 25, 35, 41 or 42) is less than that for a system not at equilibrium (the first term on the left side of Eqs. 25, 35, 41 or 42). Equation 41 applies to the intensive variable $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and its corresponding extensive variable $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$, as indicated by Eq. 40 which is derived in part I of the work [1] for equilibrium at constant T and P .

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{T, P, Q_{x}=K_{x}}=\left(\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{\zeta}+\left(\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}}\left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial n_{i}}\right)_{T, P, Q_{x}=K_{x}} \\
&=\frac{1-x_{i}}{n_{T}}-\frac{Q_{x}\left(\nu_{i}-\Delta v x_{i}\right)^{2}}{n_{T} n_{i}\left(\frac{\partial Q_{x}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{n_{i}^{0}}} \\
&=\frac{\left(\sum_{j \neq i} n_{j}\right)\left[\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{v_{j}^{2}}{n_{j}}-\frac{\left(\sum_{j \neq q i} v_{j}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{j \neq i} n_{j}}\right]}{n_{T}^{2}\left[\sum_{j} \frac{v_{j}^{2}}{n_{j}}-\frac{\Delta v^{2}}{n_{T}}\right]} \geq 0  \tag{40}\\
&\left|\left(\frac{\partial Y_{i}}{\partial y_{i}}\right)_{T, Q_{p}=K_{P}}\right| \leq\left|\left(\frac{\partial Y_{i}}{\partial y_{i}}\right)_{T, \zeta}\right| \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

From Eq. 41, Eq. 42 can be derived.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial Y_{i}}\right)_{T, Q_{P}=K_{P}}\right| \geq\left|\left(\frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial Y_{i}}\right)_{T, \zeta}\right| \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The chemistry signified by Eq. 42 can be formulated as "The change of an extensive variable caused by changing the corresponding intensive variable is larger if chemical equilibrium is maintained than if no reaction could take place in the system" [4]. The results from Eqs. 41 and 42 are just what referred by Theorem 3 [1,2].

## 5 Application 4

Theorem 7 For an ideal gas equilibrium system at constant $T$ and $P$, the final mole fractions are only a function of $K_{\mathrm{x}}$ and the initial mole ratio, independent of whether the system is scaled up or down.

An example showing the validity of Theorem 7 was given in Table 1 from part II of the work [2]. It is demonstrated below by another example, which involves $Q_{x}$. In

Table 1 Values of reaction extent obtained from various initial moles R

| R | 0.2000 | 0.5000 | 1.0000 | 2.0000 | 3.0000 | 4.0000 | 5.0000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\zeta$ | 0.0766 | 0.1915 | 0.3831 | 0.7661 | 1.1492 | 1.5322 | 1.9153 |

this example $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is set at 2.97 [5].

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
2 A & =2 B & +C & \\
R & 0 & 0 & \text { initial }  \tag{43}\\
R-2 \zeta & 2 \zeta & \zeta & \text { final }
\end{array}
$$

From Eq. 43 we obtain Eq. 44.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(\frac{2 \zeta}{R+\zeta}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\zeta}{R+\zeta}\right)}{\left(\frac{R-2 \zeta}{R+\zeta}\right)^{2}}=\frac{4 \zeta^{3}}{(R-2 \zeta)^{2}(R+\zeta)}=2.97 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the initial mole R takes various values, Table 1 shows the corresponding values of the reaction extent $\zeta$.

When R is scaled up or down m times, it is easy to see from Eq. 44 that the reaction extent $\zeta$ must be similarly scaled. Thus, the final mole fraction distribution for all the species will be the same as shown from Eqs. 45 to 47 whatever the value of R.

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\zeta}{R+\zeta} & =\frac{\zeta_{1}}{R_{1}+\zeta_{1}}=\frac{\zeta_{2}}{R_{2}+\zeta_{2}}=\cdots  \tag{45}\\
\frac{2 \zeta}{R+\zeta} & =\frac{2 \zeta_{1}}{R_{1}+\zeta_{1}}=\frac{2 \zeta_{2}}{R_{2}+\zeta_{2}}=\cdots  \tag{46}\\
\frac{R-2 \zeta}{R+\zeta} & =\frac{R_{1}-2 \zeta_{1}}{R_{1}+\zeta_{1}}=\frac{R_{2}-2 \zeta_{2}}{R_{2}+\zeta_{2}}=\cdots \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

As a result of this scaling, only one solution for a particular R is needed since the others can be easily obtained from Eq. 48.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\zeta}{R}=\frac{\zeta_{1}}{R_{1}}=\frac{\zeta_{2}}{R_{2}}=\cdots \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6 Conclusions

Superficially, it might be argued that conclusions based on $Q_{x}$ are not reliable since $K_{x}$ is only a constant if the pressure is fixed. However, $Q_{x}$ is in fact more important theoretically as it has been shown in this work that it is particularly useful in developing an equilibrium theory for ideal gas systems and indeed most equilibrium problems can be solved from its consideration [6-13]. The relationships between $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}$, and between $Q_{C}$ and $Q_{x}$ are discussed. Four examples where $Q_{x}$ provides an essential contribution are given in detail. Of particular interest is that our rigorous proof shows that Eq. 19 should contain $\geq$ rather than the $>$ reported in the derivation given in ref. [4]. Although the contents concerned here are elementary, it deserves the effort paid in getting more in-depth and systematic views [14]. We agree that good work, whether it is elementary or in frontier, is also educational significant [15].
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